

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

FILED  
for Roanoke  
MAY - 6 2008  
JOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK  
BY: M. Hupp  
DEPUTY CLERK

United States of America )

v.  
EVERETTE BRYANT LAW )) Case No: 7:93CR00066-001) USM No: 04208-084Date of Previous Judgment: 4/1/94 )

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) )

) Defendant's Attorney

**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☐ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☒ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of \_\_\_\_\_ months is reduced to \_\_\_\_\_.

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE** (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Amended Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**


- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain): \_\_\_\_\_

**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Because defendant's offenses involved a very large quantity of drugs, he obstructed justice and he was on state parole for a drug conviction, in the exercise of my discretion, I decline to grant a two point reduction to his original guideline base offense.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 4/1/94 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**Order Date: 5-6-08

  
Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

Hon. Jackson L. Kiser, Senior U. S. District Judge  
Printed name and title